arXiv:1612.02933v1 [math.OC] 9 Dec 2016

Lyapunov-based Stability of Feedback Interconnections of Negative Imaginary Systems

Ahmed G. Ghallab, * **Mohamed A. Mabrok,** ** **and Ian R. Petersen** *

* *School of Engineering and Information Technology, University of New South Wales at the Australian Defence Force Academy, Canberra ACT 2600, Australia, email: ahmed.ibrahim@student.adfa.edu.au, m.a.mabrok@gmail.com, i.r.petersen@gmail.com*
** *Robotics, Intelligent Systems and Control Lab at Computer, Electrical and Mathematical Science and Engineering, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST)*

Abstract: Feedback control systems using sensors and actuators such as piezoelectric sensors and actuators, micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) sensors and opto-mechanical sensors, are allowing new advances in designing such high precision technologies. The negative imaginary control systems framework allows for robust control design for such high precision systems in the face of uncertainties due to un modelled dynamics. The stability of the feedback interconnection of negative imaginary systems has been well established in the literature. However, the proofs of stability feedback interconnection which are used in some previous papers have a shortcoming due to a matrix inevitability issue. In this paper we provide a new and correct Lyapunobv-based proof of one such result and show that the result is still true.

Keywords: Negative imaginary systems, Strictly negative imaginary systems, Negative imaginary lemma, Lyapunov stability.

1. INTRODUCTION

Technologies such as atomic force microscopy, nano-positioning, micro-robotics and hard disc drives require high precision and performance in controller design. Feedback control systems using sensors and actuators such as piezoelectric sensors and actuators, micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) sensors and opto-mechanical sensors, D. G. Wilson and Starr (2002); Harigae et al. (2003); Bhikkaji and Moheimani (2009); Mahmood et al. (2011); Salapaka et al. (2002); van Hulzen et al. (2010); Devasia et al. (2007); Diaz et al. (2012); Ray (1978), are allowing new advances in designing such high precision technologies. Thew negative imaginary (NI) control systems framework allows for robust control design for such high precision systems in the face of uncertainties due to unmodelled dynamics along with sensor and actuator, Petersen and Lanzon (2010); M. A. Mabrok and Lanzon (2014). In Lanzon and Petersen (2008); Petersen and Lanzon (2010), they introduced the theory of negative imaginary (NI) systems for the robust control of flexible structures. Negative imaginary systems are defined by considering the properties of the imaginary part of the system frequency response $G(j\omega)$ and requiring the condition $j(G(j\omega) - G(j\omega)^*) \geq 0$ for all $\omega \in (0, \infty)$.

The robust stability of feedback interconnections of linear time-invariant (LTI) multiple-input multiple-output negative imaginary systems has been studied in Lanzon and Petersen (2008); Petersen and Lanzon (2010); Xiong et al. (2010). It is shown that a necessary and sufficient condition for the internal stability of a positive-feedback control system (see Fig. 1) consisting of an NI plant with transfer function matrix $G(s)$ and a strictly negative imaginary (SNI) controller with transfer function matrix $H(s)$ is given by the DC gain condition

$$\lambda_{max}(G(0)H(0)) < 1, \tag{1}$$

where the notation $\lambda_{max}(\cdot)$ denotes the maximum eigenvalue of a matrix with only real eigenvalues. In M. A. Mabrok and Lanzon (2014), a new NI system definition is given, which allows for flexible structure systems with colocated force actuators and position sensors, and with free body



Fig. 1. A negative-imaginary feedback control system. If the plant transfer function matrix $G(s)$ is NI and the controller transfer function matrix $H(s)$ is SNI, then the positive-feedback interconnection is internally stable if and only if the DC gain condition, $\lambda_{max}(G(0)H(0)) < 1$, is satisfied.

motion. Also, necessary and sufficient conditions are provided for the stability of positive feedback control systems where the plant is NI according to the new definition and the controller is strictly negative imaginary is given in M. A. Mabrok and Lanzon (2014).

The NI stability result provided in Lanzon and Petersen (2008); Petersen and Lanzon (2010) result has been used in a number of practical applications Cai and Hagen (Aug. 2010); Bhikkaji and Moheimani (2009); Mahmood et al. (2011); Ahmed and Pota (2011); Bhikkaji et al. (2012); Diaz et al. (2012). For example in Cai and Hagen (Aug. 2010), this stability result is applied to the problem of decentralized control of large vehicle platoons. In Bhikkaji and Moheimani (2009); Mahmood et al. (2011), the NI stability result is applied to nanopositioning in an atomic force microscope. A positive position feedback control scheme based on the NI stability result provided in Lanzon and Petersen (2008); Petersen and Lanzon (2010) is used to design a novel compensation method for a coupled fuselage-rotor mode of a rotary wing unmanned aerial vehicle in Ahmed and Pota (2011). In Diaz et al. (2012), an IRC scheme based on the NI stability result is used to design an active vibration control system for the mitigation of human induced vibrations in light-weight civil engineering structures, such as floors and footbridges via proof-mass actuators. An identification algorithm which enforces the NI constraint is proposed in Bhikkaji et al. (2012) for estimating model parameters, following which an Integral resonant controller is designed for damping vibrations in flexible structures. In addition, it is shown in van der Schaft (2011) that the class of linear systems having NI transfer function matrices is closely related to the class of linear port-Hamiltonian input-output systems. Also, an extension of the NI systems theory to infinite-dimensional systems is presented in Opmeer (2011).

The stability of the feedback interconnection of negative imaginary systems is established in Lanzon and Petersen (2008); Petersen and Lanzon (2010); Xiong et al. (2010); M. A. Mabrok and Lanzon (2014). However, the proofs of the stability results which used in Xiong et al. (2010); M. A. Mabrok and Lanzon (2014) have a shortcoming due to a matrix inevitability issue for the case in which the plant has poles on the imaginary axis. In this paper, we are use a Lyapunov-based stability approach to prove the result of Xiong et al. (2010) and show that the result is still true. Note that the result of S. Z. Khong and Rantzer (2015) does provide a correct proof in the case of plant poles on imaginary axis but requires an extra condition in the definition of the NI property that a certain residue matrix is positive-definite. That extra condition is not required in this paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce the concept of negative imaginary systems and strictly negative imaginary systems which allow for poles on the imaginary axis except at the origin.

Definition 1. Lanzon and Petersen (2007, 2008); Xiong et al. (2009) A square transfer function matrix $G(s)$ is called negative imaginary (NI) if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $G(s)$ has no pole at the origin and in $\mathrm{Re}[s] > 0$.
2. For all $\omega > 0$, such that $j\omega$ is not a pole of $G(s)$, $j\left(G(j\omega) - G(j\omega)^*\right) \geq 0$.
3. If $j\omega_0$, $\omega_0 \in (0, \infty)$, is a pole of $G(j\omega)$, it is at most a simple pole and the residue matrix $K_0 = \lim_{s \to j\omega_0}(s - j\omega_0)sG(s)$ is positive semidefinite Hermitian.

A linear time invariant system is NI if its transfer function is NI.

Definition 2. Lanzon and Petersen (2008); Petersen and Lanzon (2010); Xiong et al. (2010). A square real-rational transfer function matrix $H(s)$ is strictly negative imaginary if:

1) $G(s)$ has no poles in $\mathrm{Re}[s] \geq 0$;
2) $j[G(j\omega) - G^*(j\omega)] > 0$ for $\omega \in (0, \infty)$.

Lemma 1. Xiong et al. (2009). Given a real rational strictly proper transfer function matrix $G(s)$ with minimal state space realization $\left[\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array}\right]$ and, define the transfer function matrix $\tilde{G}(s) = G(s) - D$. The transfer function matrix $G(s)$ is negative imaginary if and only if,

(1) $G(s)$ has no poles at the origin.
(2) The transfer function matrix $F(s) = s\tilde{G}(s)$ is positive real.

Lemma 2. Lanzon and Petersen (2008). Let (A, B, C, D) be a minimal state-space realization of the $m \times m$ real-rational proper transfer function matrix $G(s)$, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times m}, C \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}, D \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$. Then $G(s)$ is negative imaginary if and only if:

1) $\det(A) \neq 0$, $D = D^T$;
2) there exists a matrix $P = P^T > 0, P \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$, such that

$$AP^{-1} + P^{-1}A^T \leq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad B + AP^{-1}C^T = 0$$

Lemma 3. Xiong et al. (2010) Let (A, B, C, D) be a minimal state-space realization of the $m \times m$ real-rational proper transfer function matrix $G(s)$, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times m}, C \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}, D \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$. Then $G(s)$ is strictly negative imaginary if and only if:

1) $\det(A) \neq 0$, $D = D^T$;
2) there exists a matrix $P = P^T > 0, P \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$, such that

$$AP^{-1} + P^{-1}A^T \leq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad B + AP^{-1}C^T = 0$$

3) the transfer function matrix $M(s) \backsim \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline LPA^{-1} & 0 \end{array}\right]$ has full column rank at $s = jw$ for any $\omega \in (0, \infty)$ where $L^TL = -AP^{-1} - P^{-1}A^T$. That is, rank $M(j\omega) = m$ for any $\omega \in (0, \infty)$.

We will consider the positive feedback interconnection of a linear NI system with a linear SNI system and prove the internal stability of the closed-loop system. Consider a minimal state-space representation for the SNI transfer function $H(s)$,

$$\dot{x_1}(t) = A_1x_1(t) + B_1u_1(t), \tag{2}$$
$$y_1(t) = C_1x_1(t) + D_1u_1(t), \tag{3}$$

where $A_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}, B_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times m}, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}, D_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$.

Also, we consider a minimal state-space representation for the NI transfer function $G(s)$,

$$\dot{x_2}(t) = A_2x_2(t) + B_2u_2(t), \quad (4)$$
$$y_2(t) = C_2x_2(t) + D_2u_2(t), \quad (5)$$

where $A_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}, B_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times m}, C_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n}, D_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$.

Since $G(s)$ is NI, Lemma 2 implies there exist a symmetric matrix $P_1 > 0$, and a matrix L_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} A_1P_1^{-1} + A_1^TP_1^{-1} &= L_1^TL_1 \\ B_1 + A_1P_1^{-1}C_1^T &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which give

$$\begin{aligned} P_1A_1 + A_1^TP_1 &= -P_1L_1^TL_1P_1 \\ B_1^TP_1 - C_1L_1^TL_1P_1 &= C_1A_1 \\ C_1B_1 + (C_1B_1)^T &= (L_1C_1^T)^T(L_1C_1^T) \end{aligned}$$

Also, since $H(s)$ is SNI, Lemma 3 implies there exist a symmetric matrix $P_2 > 0$, and a matrix L_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} A_2P_2^{-1} + A_2^TP_2^{-1} &= L_2^TL_2 \\ B_2 + A_2P_2^{-1}C_2^T &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} P_2A_2 + A_2^TP_2 &= -P_2L_2^TL_2P_2 \\ B_2^TP_2 - C_2L_2^TL_2P_2 &= C_2A_2 \\ C_2B_2 + (C_2B_2)^T &= (L_2C_2^T)^T(L_2C_2^T) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$W(s) := sM(s) = L_2P_2(sI - A_2)^{-1}B_2 - L_2C_2^T$$

has no zeros in the $j\omega$-axis except at the origin.

Lemma 4. Given negative imaginary $G(s)$ and strictly negative imaginary $H(s)$. Assume $G(\infty)H(\infty) = 0$ and $N(\infty) \geq 0$. Then the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_1 - C_1^TD_2C_1 & -C_1^TC_2 \\ -C_2^TC_1 & P_2 - C_2^TD_1C_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive definite if and only if $\lambda_{max}(G(0)H(0)) < 1$.

Proof. See also Lanzon and Petersen (2008). We have $\lambda_{max}(G(0)H(0)) < 1$

$$\Leftrightarrow H(0)^{-1} - G(0) > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow H(0)^{-1} - D - C_1P_1C_1^T > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & -C_1^T \\ C_1 & H(0)^{-1} - D_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow H(0)^{-1} - D > 0, \quad \text{and}$$

$$P_1 - C_1(H(0)^{-1} - D_1)^{-1}C_1 > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lambda_{max}[D_1H(0)] < 1, \quad \text{and}$$

$$P_1 - C_1(H(0)^{-1} - D_1)^{-1}[D_2 + (H(0) - D_2)]C_1 > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lambda_{max}[D_1C_2P_2^{-1}C_2^T], \quad \text{and}$$

$$P_1 - C_1^TD_2C_1 - C_1^T(I - H(0)D_1)^{-1}(H(0) - D_2)C_1 > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lambda_{max}[P_2^{\frac{1}{2}}C_2^TD_1C_2P_2^{\frac{1}{2}}], \quad \text{and}$$

$$P_1 - C_1^TD_2C_1 - C^T(I - C_2P_2C_2^TD_1)C_2P_2C_2^TC_1 > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P_2 - C_2^TD_1C_2 > 0, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(P_1 - C_1^TD_2C_1) - C_1^TC_2(P_2 - C_2^TD_1C_2)^{-1}C_2^TC_1 > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} P_1 - C_1^TD_2C_1 & -C_1^TC_2 \\ -C_2^TC_1 & P_2 - C_2^TD_1C_2 \end{bmatrix} > 0.$$

□

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we shall introduce the main result regarding the internal stability of the positive feedback interconnection of $G(s)$ and $H(s)$.

Theorem 1. Assume $G(s)$ is negative imaginary system and $H(s)$ is strictly negative imaginary system such that $G(\infty)H(\infty) = 0$ and $N(\infty) \geq 0$. Also, assume that $\lambda_{max}(G(0)H(0)) < 1$. Then, the positive feedback interconnection of $G(s)$ and $H(s)$ is internally stable.

Proof. This proof follows a similar approach to the proof of Lemma 3.37 in Brogliato et al. (2007).

Let $V_1(x_1) = x_1^TP_1x_1$ and $V_2(x_2) = x_2^TP_2x_2$ and consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} V(x_1, x_2) &= V_1(x_1) + V_2(x_2) - 2y_1^Ty_2 \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1^T & x_2^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_1 - C_1^TD_2C_1 & -C_1^TC_2 \\ -C_2^TC_1 & P_2 - C_2^TD_1C_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

as a Lyapunov candidate for the feedback system. Note that it follows from Lemma 4 that the function $V(x_1, x_2)$ is positive definite. Now for the closed loop system we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\dot{V}(x_1, x_2) = \\ &\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1^T & \dot{x}_2^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_1 - C_1^TD_2C_1 & -C_1^TC_2 \\ -C_2^TC_1 & P_2 - C_2^TD_1C_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1^T & \dot{x}_2^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_1 - C_1^TD_2C_1 & -C_1^TC_2 \\ -C_2^TC_1 & P_2 - C_2^TD_1C_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= x_1^TP_1\dot{x}_1 + \dot{x}_1^TP_1x_1 - 2\dot{x}_1^TC_1^TD_2C_1x_1 - 2x_2^TC_2^TC_1x_1 \\ &- 2x_1^TC_1^TC_2x_2 + \dot{x}_2^TP_2x_2 + x_2^TP_2\dot{x}_2 - 2x_2^TC_2^TD_1C_2\dot{x}_2 \\ &= (x_1^TA_1^T + u_1^TB_1^T)P_1x_1 + x_1^TP_1(A_1x_1 + B_1u_1) \\ &+ (x_2^TA_2^T + u_2^TB_2^T)P_2x_2 + x_2^TP_2(A_2x_2 + B_2u_2) \\ &- 2(\dot{y}_1^T - \dot{u}_1^TD_1^T)D_2(y_1 - D_1u_1) \\ &- 2(\dot{y}_2^T - \dot{u}_2^TD_2^T)(y_1 - D_1u_1) \\ &- 2(\dot{y}_1^T - \dot{u}_1^TD_1^T)(y_2 - D_2u_2) \\ &- 2(\dot{y}_2^T - \dot{u}_2^TD_2^T)D_1(y_2 - D_2u_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= x_1^T(A_1^TP_1 + P_1A_1)x_1 + x_2^T(A_2^TP_2 + P_2A_2)x_2 \\
&\quad + 2u_1^TB_1^TP_1x_1 + 2u_2^TB_2^TP_2x_2 \\
&\quad - 2\dot{y}_1^TD_2y_1 - 2\dot{y}_2^Ty_1 + 2\dot{y}_2^TD_1u_1 + 2\dot{u}_2^TD_2^Ty_1 \\
&\quad + 2\dot{y}_1^TD_2u_2 + 2\dot{u}_1^TD_1^Ty_2 - 2\dot{y}_2^TD_1y_2 - 2\dot{y}_1^Ty_2 \\
&= -x_1^TP_1L_1^TL_1P_1x_1 - x_2^TP_2L_2^TL_2P_2x_2 - 2\dot{y}_2^Ty_1 - 2\dot{y}_1^Ty_2 \\
&\quad + 2u_1^T(B_1^TP_1 - C_1L_1^TL_1P_1)x_1 - 2u_1^TC_1L_1^TL_1P_1x_1 \\
&\quad + 2u_2^T(B_2^TP_2 - C_2L_2^TL_2P_2)x_2 - 2u_2^TC_2L_2^TL_2P_2x_2 \\
&= -x_1^TP_1L_1^TL_1P_1x_1 - x_2^TP_2L_2^TL_2P_2x_2 - 2\dot{y}_2^Ty_1 - 2\dot{y}_1^Ty_2 \\
&\quad + 2u_1^T(C_1A_1x_1 + C_1B_1u_1) - 2u_1^TC_1B_1u_1 \\
&\quad + 2u_2^T(C_2A_2x_2 + C_2B_2u_2) - 2u_2^TC_2B_2u_2 \\
&\quad - 2u_1^TC_1L_1^TL_1P_1x_1 - 2u_2^TC_2L_2^TL_2P_2x_2 \\
&= -x_1^TP_1L_1^TL_1P_1x_1 - x_2^TP_2L_2^TL_2P_2x_2 - 2\dot{y}_2^Ty_1 - 2\dot{y}_1^Ty_2 \\
&\quad + 2u_1^T\dot{y}_1 - 2u_1^TC_1B_1u_1 - 2u_1^TC_1L_1^TL_1P_1x_1 \\
&\quad + 2u_2^T\dot{y}_2 - 2u_2^TC_2B_2u_2 - 2u_2^TC_2L_2^TL_2P_2x_2 \\
&= -(L_1P_1x_1 - L_1C_1^Tu_1)^T(L_1P_1x_1 - L_1C_1^Tu_1) \\
&\qquad - (L_2P_2x_2 - L_2C_2^Tu_2)^T(L_2P_2x_2 - L_2C_2^Tu_2)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the equations $u_1 = y_2$ and $u_2 = y_1$, and $2u_i^TC_iB_iu_i = u_i^T(C_iB_i + (C_iB_i)^T)u_i = u_i^TC_iL_i^TL_iC_i^Tu_i$.

Define $\tilde{y}_i = L_iP_ix_i - L_iC_i^Tu_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$\dot{V}(x_1, x_2) = -\tilde{y}_1^T\tilde{y}_1 - \tilde{y}_2^T\tilde{y}_2 \leq -\tilde{y}_2^T\tilde{y}_2 \leq 0. \tag{6}$$

This implies that the closed loop systems is at least Lyapunov-stable, *i.e.* the closed loop system poles are only in the closed left half plane.

Integrating (6) we get

$$-V(0) \leq V(t) - V(0) \leq -\int_0^t \tilde{y}_2^T(s)\tilde{y}_2(s)ds, \tag{7}$$

then

$$\int_0^t \tilde{y}_2^T(s)\tilde{y}_2(s)ds \leq V(0), \tag{8}$$

which implies

$$\tilde{y}_2 = L_2P_2x_2 - L_2C_2^Tu_2 = 0. \tag{9}$$

We now show that the closed loop system matrix has no eigen values on the imaginary axis. The closed loop matrix for the systems is

$$\breve{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 + B_1D_2C_1 & B_1C_2 \\ B_2C_1 & A_2 + B_2D_1C_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose that this matrix has an eigen value on the $j\omega$-axis. Then there exists a nonzero $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^T & x_2^T \end{bmatrix}^T$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 - j\omega I + B_1D_2C_1 & B_1C_2 \\ B_2C_1 & A_2 - j\omega I + B_2D_1C_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

for $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. So, we have

$$(A_1 - j\omega I + B_1D_2C_1)x_1 + B_1C_2x_2 = 0, \tag{10}$$

and

$$B_2C_1x_1 + (A_2 - j\omega I + B_2D_1C_2)x_2 = 0. \tag{11}$$

Then, we have

$$(j\omega I - A_1)x_1 - B_1y_2 = 0, \tag{12}$$

and

$$(j\omega I - A_2)x_2 - B_2y_1 = 0, \tag{13}$$

where we used the state-space equations (3), (5) and the equations $u_1 = y_2$ and $u_2 = y_1$.

Combining (13), (9) in a matrix equation form we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_2 - j\omega I & B_2 \\ L_2P_2 & -L_2C_2^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Since the matrix on the left has full rank for $\omega \in (0, \infty)$, it follows that $x_2 = u_2 = 0$ and hence $y_1 = y_2 = 0$. This implies $C_1x_1 = 0, x_1 \neq 0$, *i.e.* (A, C) is non-observable, which contradicts the minimality of the systems $G(s)$. Therefore, $\breve{A}$ is semistable (*i.e.* $j\omega \notin \text{spec}(\breve{A})$, for nonzero $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$).

Now assume that the matrix $\breve{A}$ has an eigen value at the origin ($\omega = 0$). From (12) we have

$$C_1x_1 = -C_1A_1^{-1}B_1y_2 = (G(0) - D_1)y_2.$$

This implies

$$y_1 - D_1u_1 = (G(0) - D_1)y_2,$$

and then

$$y_1 = G(0)y_2. \tag{14}$$

Similarly, from (13) we have

$$y_2 = H(0)y_1. \tag{15}$$

Combining (14) and (15) we get

$$y_1 = G(0)H(0)y_1. \tag{16}$$

Note that from (13), if $y_1 = 0$ we get $x_2 = 0$, since A_2 is asymptotically stable and hence invertible. Also, we have $y_2 = C_2x_2 + D_2u_2 = 0$, and from (12) we have $x_1 = 0$ since A_1 has no have eigenvalue at the origin. That leads to $(x_1, x_2) = 0$ which is not allowed, thus y_1 must be nonzero. However, (16) contradicts with the DC gain condition $\lambda_{max}(G(0)H(0)) < 1$. Therefore, we have shown by contradiction that the closed loop system does not have eigen values on the imaginary axis. From that we conclude that the feedback interconnection of $G(s)$ and $H(s)$ is internally stable.

□

The next corollary shows that the result in Xiong et al. (2010) for the internal stability of positive feedback interconnections of NI systems is still true.

Corollary 1. Given a NI transfer function matrix $G(s)$ and a SNI transfer function matrix $H(s)$ and assume that $G(\infty)H(\infty) = 0$ and $H(\infty) \geq 0$. Then, the feedback interconnection of $G(s)$ and $H(s)$ is internally stable if and only if $\lambda_{max}(G(0)H(0)) < 1$.

Proof. This result follows from Theorem 1 and the necessity part of Theorem 5 of Xiong et al. (2010) for which a correct proof has already been given in Xiong et al. (2010).

□

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge Sei Zhen Khong who originally pointed out to the problem with the proof of Theorem 5 in Xiong et al. (2010). This work was supported by the Australian Research Council under grant DP160101121.

REFERENCES

B. Ahmed and H. Pota. Dynamic compensation for control of a rotary wing UAV using positive position feedback. *Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems*, 61(1-4):43–56, 2011. ISSN 0921-0296.

B. Bhikkaji and S. Moheimani. Fast scanning using piezoelectric tube nanopositioners: A negative imaginary approach. In *Proc. IEEE/ASME Int. Conf. Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics AIM*, pages 274–279, Singapore, July 2009. doi: 10.1109/AIM.2009.5230001.

B. Bhikkaji, S. O. R. Moheimani, and I. R. Petersen. A negative imaginary approach to modeling and control of a collocated structure. *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, 17(4):717–727, 2012. doi: 10.1109/TMECH.2011.2123909.

B. Brogliato, R. Lozano, B. Maschke, and O. Egeland. *Dissipative Systems Analysis and Control*. Communications and Control Engineering. Springer, London, UK, 2nd edition, 2007.

C. Cai and G. Hagen. Stability analysis for a string of coupled stable subsystems with negative imaginary frequency response. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 55(8):1958–1963, Aug. 2010.

G. G. Parker D. G. Wilson, R. D. Robinett and G. P. Starr. Augmented sliding mode control for flexible link manipulators. *Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems*, 34(4):415–430, 2002.

S. Devasia, E. Eleftheriou, and S. O. R. Moheimani. A survey of control issues in nanopositioning. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, 15(5): 802–823, 2007. doi: 10.1109/TCST.2007.903345.

I. M. Diaz, E. Pereira, and P. Reynolds. Integral resonant control scheme for cancelling human-induced vibrations in light-weight pedestrian structures. *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 19(1):55–69, 2012.

M. Harigae, I. Yamaguchi, T. Kasai, H. Igawa, and T. Suzuki. Control of large space structures using GPS modal parameter identification and attitude and deformation estimation. *Electronics and Communications in Japan*, 86(4):63–71, 2003.

A. Lanzon and I. R. Petersen. A modified positive-real type stability condition. In *Proceedings of the European Control Conference*, pages 3912–3918, Kos, Greece, July 2007.

A. Lanzon and I. R. Petersen. Stability robustness of a feedback interconnection of systems with negative imaginary frequency response. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 53(4):1042–1046, 2008.

I. R. Petersen M. A. Mabrok, A. G. Kallapur and A. Lanzon. Generalizing negative imaginary systems theory to include free body dynamics: Control of highly resonant structures with free body motion. *Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on*, 59(10):2692–2707, Oct 2014. ISSN 0018-9286. doi: 10.1109/TAC.2014.2325692.

I. A. Mahmood, S. O. R. Moheimani, and B. Bhikkaji. A new scanning method for fast atomic force microscopy. *IEEE Transactions on Nanotechnology*, 10(2):203–216, 2011.

M. R. Opmeer. Infinite-dimensional negative imaginary systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 56 (12):2973–2976, 2011. doi: 10.1109/TAC.2011.2162886.

I. R. Petersen and A. Lanzon. Feedback control of negative imaginary systems. *IEEE Control System Magazine*, 30 (5):54–72, 2010.

W. H. Ray. Some recent applications of distributed parameter systems theory-a survey. *Automatica*, 14(3): 281 – 287, 1978. ISSN 0005-1098.

I. R. Petersen S. Z. Khong and A. Rantzer. Robust feedback stability of negative imaginary systems. In *Proceedings of the European Control Conference 2015*, Linz, Austria, July 2015.

S. Salapaka, A. Sebastian, J. P. Cleveland, and M. V. Salapaka. High bandwidth nano-positioner: A robust control approach. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 73 (9):3232–3241, 2002.

A. J. van der Schaft. Positive feedback interconnection of Hamiltonian systems. In *Proceedings of the 50th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control and European Control Conference (CDC-ECC)*, Orlando, FL, USA, Dec 2011.

J. R. van Hulzen, G. Schitter, P. M. J. Van den Hof, and J. van Eijk. Modal actuation for high bandwidth nanopositioning. In *Proc. American Control Conference*, pages 6525–6530, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, July 2010.

J. Xiong, I. R. Petersen, and A. Lanzon. Stability analysis of positive feedback interconnections of linear negative imaginary systems. In *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*, pages 1855–1860, St. Louis, Missouri, USA, June 2009.

J. Xiong, I. R. Petersen, and A. Lanzon. A negative imaginary lemma and the stability of interconnections of linear negative imaginary systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 55(10):2342–2347, 2010.